

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
NEW YORK DIVISION OF JUDGES**

HEALTHBRIDGE MANAGEMENT, LLC;
CARE REALTY, LLC; CAREONE, LLC;
107 OSBORNE STREET OPERATING
COMPANY II, LLC d/b/a DANBURY HCC;
710 LONG RIDGE ROAD OPERATING COMPANY II, LLC
d/b/a LONG RIDGE OF STAMFORD;
240 CHURCH STREET OPERATING COMPANY II, LLC
d/b/a NEWINGTON HEALTH CARE CENTER;
1 BURR ROAD OPERATING COMPANY II, LLC
d/b/a WESTPORT HEALTH CARE CENTER;
245 ORANGE AVENUE OPERATING COMPANY II, LLC
d/b/a WEST RIVER HEALTH CARE CENTER;
341 JORDAN LANE OPERATING COMPANY II, LLC d/b/a
WETHERSFIELD HEALTH CARE CENTER

and

Cases	34-CA-070823
	34-CA-072875
	34-CA-075226
	34-CA-083335
	34-CA-084717

NEW ENGLAND HEALTH CARE EMPLOYEES
UNION, DISTRICT 1199, SEIU, AFL-CIO

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT RESPONSE TO
POSTPHONE THE IN-PERSON HEARING**

On September 3, 2020, I issued an order to show cause soliciting responses from the parties in the above matter on the feasibility of an in-person or, alternatively, to conduct the hearing by video scheduled for October 21, 2020.

The parties filed a joint response in opposition to a continuation of the hearing in a video format and that an in-person would be inappropriate at this time.

For the reasons set forth in the joint response, I agree that an in-person hearing will not be appropriate at this time. I will grant the parties' request to postpone the in-person hearing to the dates agreed upon by the parties (March 2-4, 8-11 and 15-16, 2021).

However, I am not totally convinced that a video hearing would be inappropriate in the event that we cannot proceed with an in-person hearing in March 2021. As the joint response

indicated, it is within the discretion of the administrative law judge to determine the appropriateness of a video hearing. While complexity and the fact-heavy record of this case are factors to consider, I am also mindful of the prejudicial nature of further delays and the limited scope in conducting a video hearing in order to complete the testimony of a single witness, where not all of the voluminous amount of exhibited documents would necessarily be referenced in examination. I will revisit this issue when and if circumstances dictate that an in-person hearing continues to be problematic in spring 2021.

/s/ Kenneth W. Chu

Kenneth W. Chu
Administrative Law Judge

Date: September 21, 2020
New York, New York