



United States Government

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
1015 HALF STREET SE
WASHINGTON DC 20570

August 8, 2019

Kami Kimber
Counsel for the General Counsel
NLRB, Region 10
233 Peachtree Street, NE
Harris Tower – Suite 1000
Atlanta, GA 30303

W. Melvin Haas, III
Constangy, Brooks, Smith & Prophete, LLP
577 Mulberry Street, Suite 710
Macon, GA 31201-8588

Re: Kumho Tires Georgia
Cases 10-CA-208255, 10-CA-208414, and 10-RC-206308

Dear Counsels:

On July 25, 2019, Counsel for the General Counsel filed a Motion to Sever and Remand Case 10-RC-206308 to the Regional Director for the purpose of processing the Union's request to withdraw its representation petition. The motion indicated that the Respondent did not oppose this motion. On August 5, 2019, the undersigned granted Counsel for the General Counsel's motion, and severed and remanded Case 10-RC-206308 to the Regional Director for Region 10.

Shortly after issuance of the August 5 letter, the Respondent contacted this Office indicating that it had indeed opposed the motion to sever and remand, and had filed a "Motion to Correct the Record Concerning the Respondent's Position on Counsel for the General Counsel's Motion to Sever and Remand Case 10-RC-206308." The Respondent, however, inadvertently filed this motion with Region 10 and not with the Office of the Executive Secretary. Accordingly, the Respondent has now filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the August 5 letter granting Counsel's for the General Counsel's motion.

The Respondent's motion for reconsideration indicates that the Respondent did oppose the Union's request to withdraw the petition. The Respondent requests reconsideration based on the "inaccurate reporting of the facts...as reflected in Respondent's Motion to Correct the Record Concerning the Respondent's Position on Counsel for the General Counsel's Motion to Sever and Remand Case 10-RC-206308." In its motion to correct the record, the Respondent asserts that it opposes the motion to sever and remand "to the extent that any of the allegations in the prior C cases can be used in any subsequent R case, and any objections in any prior R cases can be used in any subsequent R cases, and there cannot be any reference to any prior C cases for background or other purposes."

I have taken administrative notice of the Respondent's position as stated in its motion to correct record. Given that the record is now corrected to reflect the Respondent's position, the Motion for Reconsideration is moot.

Accordingly, Case 10-RC-206308 remains severed from Cases 10-CA-208255 and 10-CA-208414, and is remanded to the Regional Director for Region 10 for processing of the Union's withdrawal request.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Farah Z. Qureshi
Associate Executive Secretary

cc: Parties