

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

ENTERGY NUCLEAR OPERATIONS, INC.

and

UNITED GOVERNMENT SECURITY
OFFICERS OF AMERICA,
LOCAL 25

Cases 01-CA-153956
01-CA-158947
01-CA-165432

ORDER REMANDING

On October 3, 2018, the National Labor Relations Board issued a Notice to Show Cause why this case should not be remanded for further consideration under *The Boeing Company*, 365 NLRB No. 154 (2017). The Respondent and the General Counsel each filed a response to the Notice to Show Cause, in which they supported severing the allegations that certain work rules were unlawful and remanding those allegations to the judge.

The Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

Having duly considered the matter,

IT IS ORDERED that the allegations that certain work rules have been unlawfully maintained are severed and remanded to Administrative Law Judge Paul A. Bogas for the purpose of reopening the record, if necessary, and preparing a supplemental decision addressing the complaint allegations affected by *Boeing*, setting forth credibility resolutions (if necessary), findings of fact, conclusions of law, and a recommended Order.¹ Copies of the supplemental

¹ On October 19, 2016, the judge denied the Respondent's Motion to Allow Testimony Concerning the Region's Investigation of the work-rule allegations. Thereafter, the Respondent filed a request for special permission to appeal the judge's denial of its Motion. The request for special permission to appeal is denied, without prejudice to the Respondent's right to renew the contentions advanced in its Motion and special appeal on exceptions to the judge's supplemental decision.

decision shall be served on all parties, after which the provisions of Section 102.46 of the Board's Rules and Regulations shall be applicable.²

Dated, Washington, D.C., January 15, 2019.

John F. Ring Chairman

Marvin E. Kaplan Member

William J. Emanuel Member

(SEAL)

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

² We shall retain for future consideration the allegation that the Respondent unlawfully disciplined employee Jamie Amaral.