ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR FULL-BOARD RECONSIDERATION\(^1\)

The Respondent’s motion for reconsideration of the Board’s Decision and Order reported at 366 NLRB No. 185 (2018) is denied. The Respondent has not identified any

\(^1\) The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.
material error or demonstrated extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration under Section 102.48(c)(1) of the Board’s Rules and Regulations.  


___________________________
John F. Ring,                   Chairman

____________________________
Lauren McFerran,              Member

____________________________
William J. Emanuel,            Member

(SEAL)   NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

2 The Respondent argues that the Board erroneously stated that the Respondent prepared and posted Environmental Support Services Employee Council meeting minutes. We agree. The record evidence was accurately stated by the judge: the Respondent prepared minutes of the monthly Environmental Support Services departmental meetings and posted those minutes on the departmental bulletin boards. The departmental meeting minutes included a summary of the ESS Employee Council reports. We do not find that this minor factual misstatement requires reconsideration of the entire issue. The judge’s decision correctly recited the facts, and the Board made clear that it was relying on the judge’s findings in affirming the violation. See slip op. at 5 (adopting the judge’s finding and noting that his decision on the issue “is well supported by the record”).

Chairman Ring did not participate in the Board’s underlying decision, and he expresses no view on whether it was correctly decided. He agrees, however, that the Respondent’s motion for full-Board reconsideration should be denied because it fails to establish any grounds warranting reconsideration under Sec. 102.48 of the Board’s Rules and Regulations.

Member Emanuel adheres to his dissents in the underlying decision, but he agrees that the Respondent has not raised any issue not previously considered or shown extraordinary circumstances warranting reconsideration.