



UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Appellate and Supreme Court Litigation Branch
Washington, D.C. 20570

August 15, 2018

VIA CM/ECF

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe
Clerk of Court, U.S. Court of Appeals
for the Second Circuit
Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007

Re: *Paula Bell v. NLRB*,
2d Cir. No. 18-1687

Dear Ms. Wolfe:

On July 27, 2018, this office received the attached letter from the pro se petitioner in this case, Paula Bell. The envelope that the letter was received in was postmarked July 17. My understanding is that the same letter was never received by the Clerk's Office, or properly filed with the Court. Although the intended purpose of the letter is unclear, it is possible that Ms. Bell intended to file this letter with the Court as an opposition to the Motion for Summary Dismissal filed by the National Labor Relations Board on June 19.

I have separately written to Ms. Bell to acknowledge receipt of her letter and to inform her that by sending her letter to this office she has not filed it with the Court or with the Board. A copy of the present correspondence will also be sent to Ms. Bell.

Very truly yours,

s/Linda Dreeben

Linda Dreeben
Deputy Associate General Counsel
National Labor Relations Board
1015 Half Street, SE
Washington, DC 20570

cc: Paula Bell (via U.S. Mail)

ATTACHMENT

PAULA BELL

NLRB
APPELLATE COURT

No. 18-1687

Petitioner 2018 JUL 27 PM 7:34

v.

LITIGATION SERVICES
WASH., D.C.

Board Case No
02-CA-171515

NLRB

Respondent

Linda Dreeben

Deputy Associate General Counsel

NLRB

1015 Half Street, SE

Washington, DC 20570

Appeal for Motion Decision

This letter serves to inform that I, Paula Bell, do not agree with the decision made for the Motion. Please allow this letter to serve as an appeal for the most recent Motion decision.

I, Paula Bell, am appealing the decision for the Motion due to the lack of responsibility on this case. All decisions made on this case were done on an oversight without taking into consideration all the facts/proof presented during trial. There is more than sufficient evidence to support that my dismissal from my then work place 420 Lexington Ave (SL Green Realty Corp.) was unjust.

When the decision came in that the court decided to not rule in my favor, I immediately asked if I could appeal or if my lawyer would appeal for me. At the NLRB, Matthew Morta informed me that I could no longer appeal and that no one would be able to appeal for me. I immediately found that suspicious as I clearly recalled that the judge stated that either party who lost would have the right to an appeal. At first, I did not file any appeals, as I was informed by Mathew Morta, that it was no longer possible but yet, I took the time to further investigate this matter.

My findings were that I could indeed file an appeal for the decision made for my case. I was informed that I may have been to late to file an appeal and had to get the paper work in as soon as possible if I wanted to proceed with any sort of Motion/appeal. The motion for appeal was filed in May 2018 as I was told it could still be done.

I would like to also include that had I been told the appropriate information at the time the decision was made then the motion would have been filed as soon as possible on my end. However, In addition, 32BJ did not comply with their job in providing me updates regarding my case, Not once was I contacted by 32Bj during the time of the case. Nyla herself had to contact

32BJ in order to find out why a contact was never made with me. I, myself had to reach out. If Nyla nor I hadn't reached out at anytime then I would've been completely clueless as to what was indeed happening. I was notified during a later time of what the decision was therefore, causing a delay in filing the appropriate paper work.

It is unfair, unjust that the motion states that the case will be dismissed as I have been actively fighting for my rights and for my case to be handled properly. There has been severe mishandling of my case and there for ask for it to remain opened and be reviewed. It is unjust to go based off the decision made by the arbitrator, as the arbitrator himself did not take into account all presented evidence/factual content for the decision of the case.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paula Bell". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned to the right of the word "Sincerely,".