

Allen, Linda

From: noreply@retarus.net
Sent: Thursday, May 17, 2018 3:51 PM
To: Allen, Linda
Cc: SM-Nass
Subject: Re: STP Nuclear Operating Company, Case 16-RC-214839 - [REPORT]
Attachments: MF5AFDDCAD496968A3089D.tif

Retarus job id: MF5AFDDCAD496968A3089D

Number of faxes : 7
 thereof successfully sent: 7
 thereof failed with error: 0
Number of pages : 2
Resolution : Low

Fax number : +12149793929
Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.24
Remote CSID: 12149793929
Duration : 36 sec.
Status : OK
Reason :

Fax number : +12149793935
Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.24
Remote CSID: 12149793935
Duration : 36 sec.
Status : OK
Reason :

Fax number : +12027286001
Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.24
Remote CSID: IBEW
Duration : 42 sec.
Status : OK
Reason :

Fax number : +17139430162
Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.24
Remote CSID: 7139430162
Duration : 90 sec.
Status : OK
Reason :

Fax number : +18179782928
Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.24
Remote CSID: NLRB
Duration : 21 sec.

Status : OK

Reason :

Fax number : +17136436226

Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.25

Remote CSID: 7136436226

Duration : 21 sec.

Status : OK

Reason :

Fax number : +13619728094

Sent : 2018-05-17-15.49.25

Remote CSID: 361 972 8228

Duration : 34 sec.

Status : OK

Reason :

To: Alan J. Marcuis
Amber M. Rogers
Eric Steven Griesel
Tim Powell
Margret Lecoche
Carmella L. Thomas
Michael N. Mosteit
Region 16 Regional Director
Timothy L. Watson

Attached is a Board Order that issued May 17, 2018 in the above-subject case.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

STP NUCLEAR OPERATING
COMPANY

Employer

and

Case 16-RC-214839

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, AFL-CIO
LOCAL 66

Petitioner

ORDER

The Employer's Request for Review of the Regional Director's Decision and Direction of Election is denied as it raises no substantial issues warranting review.¹

MARK GASTON PEARCE, MEMBER

WILLIAM J. EMANUEL, MEMBER

Dated, Washington, D.C., May 17, 2018.

MEMBER McFERRAN, dissenting.

I would grant review on the question whether the Employer's Unit Supervisors are supervisors under Sec. 2(11) of the Act.

LAUREN McFERRAN MEMBER

¹ In denying review, Member Emanuel does not rely on the Regional Director's discussion of cases involving the supervisory status of electricity utility dispatchers, whom he finds are not involved in this case. Additionally, he notes that the Employer's typical crew is structured as follows: two to three Reactor Operators and six to seven Plant Operators (both of which are unit positions); two to three Unit Supervisors, who the Regional Director found work directly with and "oversee" the Reactor Operators and Plant Operators; and one Shift Manager, a stipulated supervisor who has overall responsibility for the crew and the control room. Thus, there is at least one statutory supervisor for every crew of approximately 10 to 12 employees.