

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD**

LEGGETT & PLATT, INC.

and

**Cases 09-CA-194057
09-CA-196426
09-CA-196608**

**INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF MACHINISTS
AND AEROSPACE WORKERS (IAM), AFL-CIO**

ORDER

Assuming that the Proposed-Intervenor's Exceptions 2 through 5 constitute a timely appeal from a ruling of an administrative law judge under Section 102.26 of the Board's Rules and Regulations, we deny that appeal on the merits. We find that the judge did not act arbitrarily or capriciously or otherwise abuse his discretion in denying the Proposed-Intervenor's motion to intervene. See *Veritas Health Services, Inc.*, 363 NLRB No. 108, slip op. at 1 fn.1 (2016); *Latino Express, Inc.*, 360 NLRB 911, 911 fn.2 (2014).¹

IT IS ORDERED that the Proposed-Intervenor's appeal from the judge's adverse ruling with regard to intervention is denied.

Dated, Washington, D.C., January 23, 2018.

MARVIN E. KAPLAN, CHAIRMAN

MARK GASTON PEARCE, MEMBER

LAUREN McFERRAN, MEMBER

¹ We are not persuaded by the Proposed-Intervenor's arguments that the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment, and Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, require reversal of the administrative law judge's ruling.