

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 **JILL H. COFFMAN,**  
5 Petitioner,  
6 vs.

7 **QUEEN OF THE VALLEY MEDICAL CENTER,**  
8 Respondent.

CASE NO. 17-cv-05575-YGR

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR STAY OF  
INJUNCTION PENDING APPEAL**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 34

9 The Court has reviewed the Administrative Motion of Respondent Queen of the Valley  
10 Medical Center (“QVMC”) for Stay of Injunction Pending Appeal (Dkt. No. 34), seeking a stay  
11 pursuant to Rule 62(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Ninth Circuit Rule 27-3, and  
12 the response thereto. Having carefully considered the arguments therein and the record in this  
13 matter, the motion for stay is **DENIED**. The Court does not find that a stay is warranted.

14 QVMC’s argument that it was not permitted to present evidence relative to the irreparable  
15 harm factor is not supported by the record. Petitioner submitted evidence of irreparable harm  
16 regarding loss of union support and unilateral changes, in the moving papers. It is this evidence  
17 upon which the Court relied in reaching its decision. In its response to the moving papers, QVMC  
18 characterized the evidence as insubstantial and disingenuous, but did not offer its own evidence on  
19 this factor. Although QVMC objected to the petitioner’s rebuttal evidence on this factor, which  
20 objection the Court overruled, QVMC did not seek to present its own evidence at or before the  
21 hearing.<sup>1</sup>

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 This terminates Docket No. 34.

24 Dated: December 5, 2017



25 **YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS**  
26 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**

27 <sup>1</sup> The health care benefits issue, to which QVMC refers in footnote 4 of the instant motion,  
28 concerned an upcoming open enrollment period, evidence of which was not part of the filings in  
the case and not discussed in the briefing. (11/21/17 Transcript 36:15-37:2.)

United States District Court  
Northern District of California