

N.L.R.B.
APPELLATE COURT BRANCH
2016 MAY -9 PM 1:18
LITIGATION SERVICES
WASH., D.C.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

31-CA-029841

No. 14-1241

September Term, 2015

FILED ON: MARCH 8, 2016

HOTEL BEL-AIR,

PETITIONER

v.

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD,
RESPONDENT

MANDATE	
Par. 41(a)	Fed. R. App. Pro. 41(a)
ISSUED:	05.104.2016
BY:	<i>Kennedy</i>
ATTACHED:	<input type="checkbox"/> Assending Order <input type="checkbox"/> Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Order on Costs

Consolidated with 14-1257

On Petition for Review and Cross-Application
for Enforcement of an Order of
the National Labor Relations Board

Before: MILLETT, *Circuit Judge*, and EDWARDS and SILBERMAN, *Senior Circuit Judges*.

J U D G M E N T

This case was considered on the record from the National Labor Relations Board and on the briefs of the parties. See FED. R. APP. P. 34(a)(2); D.C. CIR. R. 34(j). The court has afforded the issues full consideration and has determined that they do not warrant a published opinion. See D.C. CIR. R. 36(d). It is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the petition for review be denied, and the cross-application for enforcement be granted, for the reasons stated in the memorandum accompanying this judgment.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses. The names are: John Doe, Jane Smith, and Bob Johnson. The addresses are: 123 Main St, 456 Elm St, and 789 Oak St.

2.

Hotel Bel-Air v. National Labor Relations Board, 14-1241

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or rehearing *en banc*. See FED. R. APP. P. 41(b); D.C. CIR. R. 41.

PER CURIAM

FOR THE COURT

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Ken Meadows
Deputy Clerk

The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice". The text is somewhat blurry and difficult to read, but it appears to be a list of names and titles.

The second part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice". The text is somewhat blurry and difficult to read, but it appears to be a list of names and titles.

The third part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice". The text is somewhat blurry and difficult to read, but it appears to be a list of names and titles.

MEMORANDUM

Hotel Bel-Air is a luxury hotel in Los Angeles, with employees represented by UNITE HERE Local 11. The parties were under a collective-bargaining agreement running until September 30, 2009. In July of 2009, Local 11 indicated its desire to open negotiations with the Hotel for a successor agreement, but the Hotel promptly notified the union that it planned to close for renovations at the end of September. Renovations were to last for as long as two years, and the Hotel expressed its willingness to bargain over the closure's effects.

From August to October of 2009, the parties held six bargaining sessions regarding severance packages and a successor agreement covering the reopening of the hotel. The parties met next the following year, in February of 2010, for an "off-the-record" session in New York and an on-the-record session in Los Angeles. They met again in Los Angeles on April 9, where the Hotel issued what it called its "last, best, and final" offer. Following the April 9 meeting, the parties - including Local 11's "proxy," Local 6 of New York - continued to negotiate in May and June, where proposals were swapped and, albeit with no agreement, both seemed to move toward one another on key terms. Nevertheless, the Hotel unilaterally implemented its April 9 offer on July 7, 2010, and mailed each employee a severance plan, as well as a waiver and release form to which the union was not made a party. A complaint was filed, and on October 31, 2014, the Board issued a Decision and Order holding that the Hotel violated § 8(a)(5) and (1) of the NLRA by unilaterally implementing its terms and dealing directly with Local 11 employees.

We think there is ample evidence supporting the Board's determination that no impasse existed when the petitioner took unilateral action. Extensive negotiations led up to the alleged impasse and continued afterward. There is more than enough evidence in the record that the parties had not reached a point where further discussion would prove futile, and that movement toward a common point was still likely, even after the Hotel's purported final offer. We reject the Hotel's argument that the Board erred in considering evidence of "off-the-record" negotiations in determining whether an impasse had been reached. Whatever "off-the-record" means to the parties, it was not "off-the-record" to the Board, and the Hotel fails to offer any precedent to suggest otherwise. Finally, petitioner's direct dealing with employees - mailing each employee a severance plan - constitutes an independent violation of § 8(a), even if the parties had reached an impasse, but it is unnecessary to consider it further in light of the finding of no impasse.

Therefore, on the record before us, we grant enforcement of the Board's cross-application for enforcement of its Order, in full. That includes requiring petitioner to "[c]ease and desist from (a) Refusing to bargain collectively by unilaterally implementing its April 9, 2010 severance, waiver, and release [and] (b) Dealing directly with bargaining unit employees regarding severance, waiver, and release terms." *Hotel Bel-Air*, 361 N.L.R.B. No 91, at 1 (Oct. 31, 2014).