

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CASES	(v)
I. STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
II. ISSUES	2
1. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act by threatening unspecified reprisals in expressing “disappointment” regarding employee Richard Woolsey’s participation in pro-union literature; threatening employees in a group meeting and threatening an employee separately that it would be futile to select the Union as their collective-bargaining representative. (Exception 2)	3
2. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent, violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act by threatening to send employee Johnathan Bishop home if he did not sign an acknowledgment for newly-implemented work rules. (Exception 3)	3
3. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act by threatening employee Richard Woosley that all employees would be written up for being late, absent, or making mistakes because of the Union’s request for disciplinary documentation. (Exception 4)	3
4. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act by telling employee Travis Dykstra that he could not be given a raise because of the Union proceedings. (Exception 15).....	3
5. Whether, as found by the ALJ, the allegation that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act by granting a wage increase to employee Benjamin Timberlake to discourage employees’ support for the Union is not time-barred. (Exception 5)	3
6. Whether, as found by the ALJ, the allegation that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act by hiring William Lincoln through a temporary service rather than as its own employee is not time-barred. (Exception 6)	3
7. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1), (3) and (5) of the Act by distributing and implementing new work rules for unit employees titled “Responsibility Press Operators.” (Exception 7)	3

8. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1)(3) and (5) of the Act by instituting a policy of sending employees home when presses are inoperable and by sending employee Nicklaus Recktenwald home in conformity with this policy. (Exceptions 8, 11)	3
9. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act by issuing a written warning to employee Richard Woosley for production errors. (Exception 9)	3
10. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (3) of the Act, by <i>announcing</i> the decision to lay off Johnathan Bishop. (Exception 10)	3
11. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (5) of the Act by failing to timely provide and refusing to provide the Union with requested information, including productivity data, relevant and necessary to the Union’s performance of its duties as collective-bargaining representative of the press department bargaining unit (Unit). (Exceptions 12, 14)	3
12. Whether, as found by the ALJ, Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) and (5) of the Act by failing to bargain with the Union over the selection criteria for the lay off and the effects of the lay off. (Exception 13)	4
13. Whether, as found by the ALJ, the appropriate remedy for Respondent’s failure to bargain over the selection for lay off and effects of the lay off of employees Johnathan Bishop, Nicklaus Recktenwald, William Wellman, and Robert Starks, is a make whole remedy, rather than a limited <i>Transmarine</i> remedy applied to situations in which the work is permanently eliminated through closure or non-discriminatory transfer of the work. <i>Transmarine Navigation Corp.</i> , 170 NLRB 389 (1968). (Exception 1)	4
III. FACTS	4
A. Brett Heap and the Union Campaign	4
B. The Nuts and Bolts of Resisting the Union: By Hook or by Crook	5
C. Executive Assistant Paul Barnum Plays a Role	7
D. Wage Increases – Buying Votes and Blaming the Union	8
E. Retaliation for Selecting Union Representation	9
F. The Election Postmortem – Policy and Rule Changes	10

G. New Rules – Responsibility Press Operators – Unilateral Changes	12
H. Sent Home When Presses Are Inoperable – Recktenwald Singled Out	13
I. Stricter Enforcement of Rules – Woosley Singled Out	13
J. The Union’s Information Requests of November 1 and December 12	14
K. The December 16, Layoff	15
IV. LEGAL ANALYSIS	19
A. The record fully supports the Judge’s findings that Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1) of the Act by threatening an employee with fewer work opportunities if the Union was selected to represent employees, threatening unspecified reprisal by expressing “disappointment” on an employee’s participation in pro-union literature, threatening employees in a group meeting and threatening an employee separately that it would be futile to select the Union as their collective-bargaining representative, threatening to send an employee home if the employee did not sign an acknowledgment for newly-implemented work rules, telling an employee that the employee could not be given a raise because of the Union proceedings, and threatening an employee that all employees would be written up for being late, absent, or making mistakes because of the Union’s request for disciplinary documentation. (Exceptions 2, 3, 4)	19
B. Respondent violated Section 8(a)(3) of the Act by granting a pay increase to employee Benjamin Timberlake to discourage employees’ support for the Union. (Exception 5)	23
C. Respondent violated Section 8(a)(3) of the Act by refusing to hire William Lincoln into a position as an employee of Respondent, rather than hiring him through a temporary service. (Exception 6)	27
D. Respondent violated Section 8(a)(3) of the Act by sending employee Nicklaus Recktenwald home without pay before his regular shift ended. (Exceptions 8, 11)	29
E. Respondent violated Section 8(a)(3) of the Act by issuing a written warning to employee Richard Woosley for production errors. (Exception 9)	31
F. Respondent violated Section 8(a)(1), (3) and (5) of the Act by distributing and implementing new work rules for unit employees titled “Responsibility Press Operators,” and by instituting a policy of sending employees home when presses are inoperable. (Exceptions 7, 8, 11)	32

G.	Respondent violated Section 8(a)(5) of the Act by failing to timely provide and refusing to provide the Union with requested information relevant and necessary to the Union’s performance of its duties as collective-bargaining representative of the press department bargaining unit. (Exception 11)	33
	A. Respondent’s delay in providing requested information to Union was unlawful	33
	B. Respondent was well-aware of the Union’s request for productivity information	35
H.	Respondent violated Section 8(a)(5) of the Act by unilaterally laying off Unit employees Johnathan Bishop, Nicklaus Recktenwald, William Wellman, and Robert Starks without bargaining in good faith with the Union. With respect Johnathan Bishop, Respondent’s conduct also violates Section 8(a)(3) of the Act by discriminatorily selecting him for layoff. (Exceptions 10, 13)	36
I.	Make whole remedy (Exception 1)	38
V.	CONCLUSION	40

TABLE OF CASES

<i>A.D. Conner, Inc., Gas City, Ltd., et al</i> , 357 NLRB No. 154 (Dec. 28, 2011)	20
<i>Advanced Installations, Inc.</i> , 257 NLRB 845 (1981)	23
<i>A.J. Schmidt</i> , 269 NLRB 579, 588 (1984)	37
<i>Albert's, Inc.</i> , 213 NLRB 686, 695-696 (1974)	37
<i>Alladin Gaming, LLC</i> , 345 NLRB 585 (2005)	21
<i>American Signature, Inc.</i> , 334 NLRB 880 (2001)	34
<i>Aztec Chemicals</i> , 218 NLRB 116, 117 (1975)	21
<i>Baby Watson Cheesecake, Inc.</i> , 320 NLRB 779 (1996)	20
<i>Baptista's Bakery, Inc.</i> , 352 NLRB 547, 550-551 (2008)	37
<i>Berkshire Nursing Home</i> , 345 NLRB 220 (2005)	32
<i>Bundy Corp.</i> , 292 NLRB 671 (1989)	34
<i>Collavino Bros.</i> , 222 NLRB 889, 891 (1976)	21
<i>Comair, Inc.</i> , 349 NLRB 342 (2007)	34
<i>Correctional Medical Services, Inc.</i> , 356 NLRB No. 48, slip op. (2010)	20
<i>Crittenden Hosp.</i> , 342 NLRB 686 (2004)	32
<i>Donaldson Bros. Ready Mix, Inc.</i> , 341 NLRB 958, 961 (2004)	28
<i>Double D Construction Group</i> , 339 NLRB 303 (2003)	20
<i>Empire State Weeklies</i> , 354 NLRB No. 91, slip op. (2009)	20
<i>Equipment Trucking Co. Inc.</i> , 336 NLRB 277 (2001)	20
<i>Eugene Iovine, Inc.</i> 353 NLRB No. 36 (2008)	39
<i>Ferguson Williams, Inc.</i> , 322 NLRB 699 (1996)	21
<i>Fibreboard Paper Products Corp. v. NLRB</i> , 379 U.S. 203 (1964)	40

<i>Geiger Ready-Mix Co. of Kansas City</i> , 315 NLRB 1021 (1994), enfd. 87 F.3d 1363 (D.C. Cir. 1996)	36
<i>General Electric Co.</i> , 321 NLRB 662 (1996)	22
<i>Good Life Beverage Co.</i> , 312 NLRB 1060, 1062 fn. 9 (1993)	33
<i>In re Clock Electric, Inc.</i> , 338 NLRB 806 (2003)	23
<i>In re Mid-Mountain Foods, Inc.</i> , 332 NLRB 229 (2000)	23
<i>In re Seabreeze Healthcare Center, Inc.</i> , 331 NLRB 1131 (2000)	21
<i>In re Wake Electric Membership Corp.</i> , 338 NLRB 298 (2002)	20
<i>Kajima Engineering & Construction</i> , 331 NLRB 1604 (2000)	36
<i>Kieft Bros. Inc.</i> , 355 NLRB No. 19 (2010)	35
<i>Koons Ford of Annapolis</i> , 282 NLRB 506 (1986)	23
<i>Lapeer Foundry</i> , 289 NLRB 952 (1988)	39
<i>Marshall Durbin Poultry Co.</i> , 310 NLRB 68 (1993)	22
<i>Master Slack</i> , 230 NLRB 1054 (1977)	33
<i>Miami Rivet of Puerto Rico</i> , 318 NLRB 769 (1995)	39
<i>Mid-Mountain Foods, Inc.</i> , 350 NLRB 742 (2007)	31
<i>NLRB v. Seven-Up Bottling Co.</i> , 344 U.S. 344 (1953)	40
<i>North Carolina License Plate Agency #18</i> , 346 NLRB 293 (2006)	27
<i>Outboard Marine Corp.</i> , 307 NLRB 1333 (1992), enfd. mem. 9F.3d 113 (7th Cir. 1993).....	20
<i>Pacific Diesel Parts, Inc.</i> , 203 NLRB 820 (1973)	27
<i>Pan American Grain Co.</i> , 343 NLRB 318 (2004), enfd. 432 F.3d 69 (1st Cir. 2005).34	39

<i>Plastonics, Inc.</i> , 312 NLRB 1045 (1993)	38
<i>Porta-King Building Systems</i> , 310 NLRB 539 (1993)	39
<i>Postal Service</i> , 308 NLRB 547 (1992)	34, 35
<i>Redd-I, Inc.</i> , 290 NLRB 1115 (1988)	24, 25
<i>Ross Stores, Inc.</i> , 329 NLRB 573 (1999)	25
<i>Samaritan Medical Center</i> , 319 NLRB 392 (1995)	34
<i>Scripps Memorial Hospital Encinitas</i> , 347 NLRB 52 (2006)	20
<i>SNE Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 347 NLRB 472 (2006)	22
<i>S & S Screw Machine Co.</i> , 288 NLRB 235 (1988)	33
<i>The Carney Hospital</i> , 350 NLRB 627 (2007)	25, 26, 29
<i>Toledo Blade Co.</i> , 342 NLRB 385 (2004)	32
<i>Transmarine Navigation Corp.</i> , 170 NLRB 389 (1968)	38, 39
<i>United States Postal Service and American Postal Workers Union National</i> , 341 NLRB 684 (2004)	23
<i>Union Carbide Corp.</i> , 275 NLRB 197 (1985)	33
<i>Vallow Floor Coverings</i> , 335 NLRB 20 (2001).....	34
<i>Webasto Sunroofs, Inc.</i> , 342 NLRB 1222 (2004)	27
<i>Wellstream Corp.</i> , 313 NLRB 698 (1994)	20
<i>Western Plant Services, Inc.</i> , 322 NLRB 183 (1996)	27
<i>Westwood Health Care Center</i> , 330 NLRB 935 (2000)	23
<i>Wilco Mfg.</i> , 321 NLRB 1094 (1996)	39
<i>Woodland Clinic</i> , 331 NLRB 735 (2000)	34
<i>Wright Line</i> , 251 NLRB 1083 (1980)	27, 29, 30