

Presbyterian University Hospital and International Union, United Plant Guard Workers of America (UPGWA). Case 6-CA-22379

May 9, 1990

DECISION AND ORDER

**BY CHAIRMAN STEPHENS AND MEMBERS
CRACRAFT AND OVIATT**

On February 14, 1990, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board issued a complaint alleging that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 6-RC-10304. (Official notice is taken of the "record" in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g); *Frontier Hotel*, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed its answer admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint.

On March 26, 1990, the General Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Judgment. On March 29, 1990, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent filed a response. The Charging Party filed a brief in support of the General Counsel's motion.

The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

In its answer the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain, but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of the Board's unit determination in the representation proceeding.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding.¹ Respondent did not

¹ We find no merit to the Respondent's contention that the Board should stay these proceedings in light of the permanent injunction issued by a Federal district court in *American Hospital Assn. v. NLRB*, 718 F.Supp. 704 (N.D. Ill. 1989), prohibiting the Board from giving effect to its rule establishing eight bargaining units in the health care industry. See 29 CFR Part 103.30; see also 284 NLRB 1516, 1596-1597. The Regional Director found after a hearing that the unit employees were guards within the meaning of Sec 9(b)(3) of the Act and directed an election in a unit limited to those guards. Thus, the underlying representation case was not governed by the Board's Rule. In any event, on April 11, 1990, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit upheld the Board's

request review of that decision and did not file any objections to the election. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See *Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB*, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941). Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. JURISDICTION

The Respondent, a Pennsylvania nonprofit corporation, operates an acute care hospital in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. During the 12-month period ending December 31, 1989, the Respondent, in the course and conduct of its business operations, derived gross revenues in excess of \$250,000 and purchased and received at its Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania facility products, goods, and materials valued in excess of \$50,000 directly from points outside the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES

A. The Certification

Following the election held November 17, 1989, the Union was certified on November 27, 1989, as the collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All security officers employed by the Employer at its Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, locations; excluding all other employees and professional employees and supervisors as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

B. Refusal to Bargain

Since December 21, 1989, the Union has requested the Respondent to bargain, and since December 27, 1989, the Respondent has refused. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

Rule and vacated the district court's permanent injunction. See *American Hospital Assn. v. NLRB*, Docket Nos. 89-2604, 89-2605, 89-2622 (7th Cir 1990).

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By refusing on and after December 27, 1989, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the appropriate unit, the Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

REMEDY

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union, and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. *Mar-Jac Poultry Co.*, 136 NLRB 785 (1962); *Lamar Hotel*, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); *Burnett Construction Co.*, 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Presbyterian University Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

1. Cease and desist from

(a) Refusing to bargain with International Union, United Plant Guard Workers of America (UPGWA), as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

(b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.

2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.

(a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment, and if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All security officers employed by the Employer at its Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, locations; excluding all other employees and professional

employees and supervisors as defined in the Act.

(b) Post at its facility in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix."² Copies of the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 6, after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent immediately upon receipt and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material.

(c) Notify the Regional Director in writing within 20 days from the date of this Order what steps the Respondent has taken to comply.

² If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."

APPENDIX

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES
POSTED BY ORDER OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with International Union, United Plant Guard Workers of America (UPGWA), as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All security officers employed by the Employer at its Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, locations; excluding all other employees and professional employees and supervisors as defined in the Act.

PRESBYTERIAN UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL