

In the Matter of MASON & HUGHES, INC. and AMALGAMATED CLOTHING
WORKERS OF AMERICA, (C. I. O.)

Case No. 10-R-1093.—Decided February 5, 1944

Mr. Charles V. Bunyon, of Clarksville, Tenn., for the Company.
Mr. Carl F. Alhrecht, of Atlanta, Ga., for the Union.
Mr. William Strong, of counsel to the Board.

DECISION
AND
DIRECTION OF ELECTION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Upon a petition duly filed by Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America, (CIO), herein called the Union, alleging that a question affecting commerce had arisen concerning the representation of employees of Mason & Hughes, Inc., Clarksville, Tennessee, herein called the Company, the National Labor Relations Board provided for an appropriate hearing upon due notice before Peter F. Ward, Trial Examiner. Said hearing was held at Clarksville, Tennessee, on January 5, 1944. The Company and the Union appeared and participated. All parties were afforded full opportunity to be heard, to examine and cross-examine witnesses, and to introduce evidence bearing on the issue. The Trial Examiner's rulings made at the hearing are free from prejudicial error and are hereby affirmed. All parties were afforded an opportunity to file briefs with the Board.

Upon the entire record in the case, the Board makes the following:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. THE BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY

Mason & Hughes, Inc., is a Tennessee corporation operating a garment factory at Clarksville, Tennessee, where it is engaged in the production of pants for the United States Army. Almost all of the raw materials used by the Company, valued at more than \$100,000 annually, come from sources outside the State of Tennessee. All of its finished products, valued in excess of \$100,000 annually, are sold and delivered by it to the United States Army.

We find that the Company is engaged in commerce within the meaning of the National Labor Relations Act.

II. THE ORGANIZATION INVOLVED

Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America, affiliated with the Congress of Industrial Organizations, is a labor organization admitting to membership employees of the Company.

III. THE QUESTION CONCERNING REPRESENTATION

The Company has refused to grant recognition to the Union as the exclusive bargaining representative of the Company's employees until, in effect, the Union has been certified by the Board in an appropriate unit.

A statement of a Board agent, introduced into evidence at the hearing indicates that the Union represents a substantial number of employees in the unit hereinafter found appropriate.¹

We find that a question affecting commerce has arisen concerning the representation of employees of the Company, within the meaning of Section 9 (c) and Section 2 (6) and (7) of the Act.

IV. THE APPROPRIATE UNIT

We find, in substantial agreement with a stipulation of the parties, that all production and maintenance employees at the Company's plant at Clarksville, Tennessee, excluding executives, clerical and all supervisory employees with authority to hire, promote, discharge, discipline, or otherwise effect changes in the status of employees, or affectively recommend such action, constitute a unit appropriate for the purposes of collective bargaining, within the meaning of Section 9 (b) of the Act.

V. THE DETERMINATION OF REPRESENTATIVES

We shall direct that the question concerning representation which has arisen be resolved by an election by secret ballot among the employees in the appropriate unit who were employed during the payroll period immediately preceding the date of the Direction of Election herein, subject to the limitations and additions set forth in the Direction.

DIRECTION OF ELECTION

By virtue of and pursuant to the power vested in the National Labor Relations Board by Section 9 (c) of the National Labor Relations Act,

¹ The Trial Examiner reported that the Union submitted 86 applications-for-membership cards, all of which bore apparently genuine original signatures; that the names of 77 persons appearing on the cards were listed on the Company's pay roll of December 18, 1943, which contained the names of 122 employees.

and pursuant to Article III, Section 9, of National Labor Relations Board Rules and Regulations—Series 3, it is hereby

DIRECTED that, as part of the investigation to ascertain representatives for the purposes of collective bargaining with Mason & Hughes, Inc., Clarksville, Tennessee, an election by secret ballot shall be conducted as early as possible, but not later than thirty (30) days from the date of this Direction, under the direction and supervision of the Regional Director for the Tenth Region, acting in this matter as agent for the National Labor Relations Board, and subject to Article III, Sections 10 and 11, of said Rules and Regulations among the employees in the unit found appropriate in Section IV, above, who were employed during the pay-roll period immediately preceding the date of this Direction, including employees who did not work during said pay-roll period because they were ill or on vacation or temporarily laid off, and including employees in the armed forces of the United States who present themselves in person at the polls, but excluding any who have since quit or been discharged for cause and have not been rehired or reinstated prior to the date of the election, to determine whether or not they desire to be represented by Amalgamated Clothing Workers of America, affiliated with the Congress of Industrial Organizations, for the purposes of collective bargaining.